

But this is not abnormal for presidents to remove U.S. attorneys and replace them with interims. And there are all kinds of problems, even with that system as it has worked, because sometimes we in the Judiciary Committee don't move the confirmations like we should as well, either. So, there are lots of things that you could find faults with, but let's be very, very careful before we start dumping this in the hands of federal judges, most of whom I really admire, regardless of their prior political beliefs.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SEN. SCHUMER: Thank you, Senator Hatch.

And Senator Cardin had to leave.

Senator Whitehouse, do you want to make an opening statement? No? Okay, thank you for coming,

And our first witness -- and I know he has a tight schedule, I appreciate him being here at this time -- is our hardworking friend from Arkansas, Senator Mark Pryor.

Senator Pryor.

SEN. MARK PRYOR (D-AR): Mr. Chairman, thank you.

And I also want to thank all the members of the committee.

I've come here today to talk about events that occurred regarding the appointment of the interim U.S. attorney for the eastern district of Arkansas which I believe -- SEN. SCHUMER: Senator, if you could just pull the mike a little closer.

SEN. PRYOR: -- raised serious concerns over the administration's encroachment on the Senate's constitutional responsibilities. I'm not only concerned about this matter as a member of the Senate but as a former practicing lawyer in Arkansas and former attorney general in my state. I know the Arkansas bar well, and all appointments that impact the legal and judicial arena in Arkansas are especially important to me.

Moreover, due to the events of the past Congress, I've given much thought as to what my role as a senator should be regarding executive and judicial nominations. I believe the confirmation process is as serious as anything that we do in government. You know my record. I've supported almost all of the president's nominations. On occasion, I have felt they were unfairly criticized for political purposes, for when I consider a nominee, I use a three-part test. First, is the nominee qualified?; second, does the nominee possess the proper temperament?; third, will the nominee be fair and impartial -- in other words, can they check their political views at the door?

Executive branch nominees are different from judicial nominees in many ways, but U.S. attorneys should be held to a high standard of independence. In other words, they're not inferior officers as defined by the U.S. Supreme Court. All U.S. attorneys must pursue justice. Wherever a case takes them, they should protect our republic by seeing that justice is done. Politics has no place in the pursuit of justice. This was my motivation in helping form the Gang of 14. I've tried very hard to be objective in my dealings with the president's nominations, including his nominations to the U.S. Supreme Court. I want the

DAG00000886

process to work in the best traditions of the Senate and in the best traditions of our democracy. In fact, I've been accused on more than one occasion of being overly fair to the president's nominations.

It is with this background that I state my belief that recent events relating to U.S. attorney dismissals and replacements are unacceptable and should be unacceptable to all of us.

Now, I would like to speak specifically about the facts that occurred regarding the U.S. attorney replacement for the Eastern District of Arkansas. In the summer of 2006, my office was told by reliable sources in the Arkansas legal and political community that then-U.S. Attorney Bud Cummins was resigning and the White House would nominate Mr. Tim Griffin as his replacement. I asked the reasons for Mr. Cummins' leaving and was informed that he was doing so to pursue other opportunities.

My office was later told by the administration that he was leaving on his own initiative and that Mr. Tim Griffin would be nominated. I did not know Mr. Griffin, but I spoke to him by telephone in August 2006 about his potential nomination. I told him that I know many lawyers in the state but I knew very little about his legal background. In other words, I did not know if he was qualified or if he had the right temperament or if he could be fair and impartial. I informed him that I would have trouble supporting him until the Judiciary Committee had reviewed these issues. I told him if he were to be nominated that I would evaluate my concerns in light of the committee process.

It should be noted that around this time, it was becoming clear that Mr. Cummins was being forced out, contrary to what my office had been told by the administration.

Sometime after the interview with Mr. Griffin, I learned that there were newspaper accounts regarding his work on behalf of the Republican National Committee about efforts that had been categorized as "caging African-American votes." This arises from allegations that Mr. Griffin and others in the RNC were targeting African-Americans in Florida for voter challenges during the 2004 presidential campaign.

I specifically addressed this issue to Mr. Griffin in a subsequent meeting. When I questioned him about this, he provided an account that was very different from the allegation. However, I informed him that due to the seriousness of the issue, this is precisely the reason why the nomination and confirmation process is in place. I told him I would not be comfortable until this committee had thoroughly examined his background. Given my concerns over this potential nominee, I as well as others protested, and Mr. Cummins was allowed to stay until the end of the year.

Rumors began to circulate in October of 2006 that the White House was going to make a recess appointment which, of course, I found troubling. This rumor was persistent in the Arkansas legal and political community. I called the White House on December 13, 2006 to express my concerns about a recess appointment and spoke to then-White House Counsel Harriet Myers. She told me that she would get back to me on this matter. I also called Attorney General Gonzales expressing my reservations. And he informed me that he would get back to me as well.

Despite expressing my concerns about a recess appointment to the White House and to the attorney general, two days later, on December 15, 2006, Ms.

DAG00000887